Of Detention and Removal Operations U.S. Department of Homeland Security 425 l Street, NW Washington, DC 20536



July 27, 2007

John P. Torres

Director

	Office Of Detention and Removal		
FROM:	b6,b7c b6,b7c Reviewer in Charge		
	San Antonio Detention and Removal Operations		
SUBJECT:	Annual Detention Review for Frio County Detention Center		
•	ce, Office of Detention and Removal conducted a detention review of the er on July 17 and 18, 2007. This review was conducted by This facility is used for detainees requiring housing over 72 hours.		
	Operational Review to determine general compliance with established inforcement (ICE) National Detention Standards. At the time of the but have any ICE detainees.		

It was determined through this review that the Frio County Detention Center is operating at the acceptable overall level. Warden has made several improvements from the last review, and has brought the facility up to the acceptable level. All the deficiencies that were noted in the last inspection have been corrected and the facility is in compliance with the ICE National

Review Findings:

Detention Standards.

Review Summary:

MEMORANDUM FOR:

The following information summarizes those standards <u>not</u> in compliance. Each standard is identified and a short summary provided regarding standards or procedures not currently in compliance.

Compliant - X

Subject: Detention Review Tammary Report Page 2

Deficient At-Risk

Non-Applicable -

Subject: Detention Review Lammary Report

Page 3

Standards Summary Findings:

This facility was in compliance.

RIC Observations:

It is in my observation that this facility has improved a great deal since I have last visited this facility. The only negative observation was in the food service area, there is a lack of storage shelves for the dry goods and in the freezer. Also there seemed to be a lack of drainage, there was water accumulating on the floor where the work detail was washing dishes.

RIC Issues and Concerns

The only issues and concerns were in the food service area, there was a lack of storage and shelf space in the kitchen and in the freezer. This was discussed with the warden and he said the problem was that he never knows how many detainees he is going to have.

Recommended Rating and Justification:

It is the Reviewer in Charge recommendation that the facility receive a rating of "Acceptable".

RIC Assurance Statement:

All findings of this review have been documented on Form G-324A and are supported by the written documentation contained in the review file.



A. Type of Facility Reviewed							
☐ ICE Service Processing	Center	G. Accreditati					
☐ ICE Contract Detention ☐ ICE Intergovernmenta		List all State or I	National Acc	reditation	on[s] receiv	ved:	
ICE Intergovernmenta	l Service Agreement	Texas Commissi	on on Jail St	andards			
	4	Check box i	f facility has	no accr	editation[s]	
B. Current Inspection		-					
Type of Inspection		H. Problems /					
∑ Field Office	on	The Facility is u					
Date[s] of Facility Review		Court Order			Action Ord	ler_	
July 17 and 18, 2007		The Facility has			_		
	•	Major Litigat		Life/S	afety Issue	S	
C. Previous/Most Recent Facil	ity Review	Check if No.	ne.				
Date[s] of Last Facility Review							
December 10 and 11, 2006		I. Facility His	story				
Previous Rating		Date Built					
Superior Good Accep	table 🛛 Deficient 🔲 At-Risk	1988	111.				
75 77	•	Date Last Remo	deled or Upg	raded		•	
D. Name and Location of Facil	lity	2 1 2	(25)		11 1		
Name Frio County Detention Center		Date New Const 1994 Williams U	ruction / Bed	space A	Added		
Address (Street and Name)		Future Construct		Trevin	o Unit 96		
410 Cedar Street		Yes No			_		
City, State and Zip Code Pearsall, Texas 78061		Current Bedspace		a Dadon	000 (# NIor	ry Bode only)	
County		Current Bedspace Future Bedspace (# New Beds only) Number: NA Date: NA					
Frio		391	Nume	CI. IVA	Date, IVA	•	
Name and Title of Chief Executive Office	cer (Warden/OIC/Superintendent)	J. Total Facili	ty Populatio	n			
Telephone # (Include Area Code)		Total Facility Intake for previous 12 months					
830 b6,b7c Field Office / Sub-Office (List Office wi	381						
San Antonio, Texas	Total ICE Mandays for Previous 12 months						
Distance from Field Office	0 as per warden						
One Hour	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IZ Classificati	Il (I(TT CT-	1 CD1	B. O. I. \	
TO TOTAL CONTROL OF		K. Classification					
E. ICE Information 95	6— b6,b7c	Adult Male	<u>L</u>	-1	L-2	L-3	
Name of Inspector (Last Name, T		Adult Male Adult Female				· · · · · ·	
Name of Team Member / Title / I	forcement Agent / Laredo	Addit Female					
	orcement Agent / Hutto	L. Facility Cap	nanity				
Name of Team Member / Title / I		D. Facility Cap	Rated	One	rational	Emergency	
/ /	outy Location	Adult Male	383		383	Emergency	
Name of Team Member / Title / I	Outy Location	Adult Female	8		8		
/ /		☐ Facility holds		enders		r as Adults	
					to und once	·	
F. CDF/IGSA Information On	lv	M. Average Da	ily Populati	on			
	Date of Contract or IGSA	9	IC		USMS	Other	
	May 27, 1998	Adult Male	6		7	370	
Basic Rates per Man-Day		Adult Female	0				
\$140.56					52 0x	Marsen	
Other Charges: (If None, Indicate	N/A)	N. Facility Sta	ffing Level				
; ; ;		Security:		Sunn	ort:	<u>-</u>	
Estimated Man-days Per Year		b2	2High				
0							

Significant Incident Summary Worksheet

For ICE to complete its review of your facility, the following information <u>must be completed</u> prior to the scheduled review dates. The information on this form should contain data for the past twelve months in the boxes provided. The information on this form is used in conjunction with the ICE Detention Standards in assessing your Detention Operations against the needs of the ICE and its detained population. This form should be filled out by the facility prior to the start of any inspection. Failure to complete this section will result in a delay in processing this report and the possible reduction or removal of ICE' detainees at your facility.

Incidents	Description	Jan – Mar	Apr – Jun	Jul – Sept	Oct – Dec
Assault: Offenders on Offenders ¹	Types (Sexual ² , Physical, etc.)				
	With Weapon				
	Without Weapon			1	
Assault: Detainee on Staff	Types (Sexual Physical, etc.)				
	With Weapon			,	
	Without Weapon			-	
Number of Forced Moves, incl. Forced Cell moves ³	1110000				
Disturbances ⁴					
Number of Times Chemical Agents Used					
Number of Times Special Reaction Team Deployed/Used					
# Times Four/Five Point	Number/Reason (M=Medical, V=Violent Behavior, O=Other)				
Restraints applied/used	Type (C=Chair, B=Bed, BB=Board, O=Other)				
Offender / Detainee Medical Referrals as a result of injuries sustained.					
Escapes	Attempted				
	Actual				
Grievances:	# Received				
	# Resolved in favor of Offender/Detainee				
Deaths	Reason (V=Violent, I=Illness, S=Suicide, A=Attempted Suicide, O=Other)			-	
	Number				
Psychiatric / Medical Referrals	# Medical Cases referred for Outside Care				1
	# Psychiatric Cases referred for Outside Care				

Any attempted physical contact or physical contact that involves two or more offenders

² Oral, anal or vaginal penetration or attempted penetration involving at least 2 parties, whether it is consenting or non-consenting

Routine transportation of detainees/offenders is not considered "forced"

Any incident that involves four or more detainees/offenders, includes gang fights, organized multiple hunger strikes, work stoppages, hostage situations, major fires, or other large scale incidents.

1. Ac	cceptable 2. Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding Deficient 3. At Risk 4. Repeat Finding 3. At Risk 4.					
Lega	al Access Standards	1.	2.	3.	4.	
i.	Access to Legal Materials					
2.	Group Presentations on Legal Rights	X				
3.	Visitation					
4.	Telephone Access					
Deta	inee Services					
5.	Admission and Release					1
6.	Classification System	Ø				
7.	Correspondence and Other Mail					1
8.	Detainee Handbook		П			
9.	Food Service	X				1
10.	Funds and Personal Property					П
11.	Detainee Grievance Procedures		 		Ħ	T
12.	Issuance and Exchange of Clothing, Bedding, and Towels		⇈		T	1
13.	Marriage Requests		╽┌┑		\blacksquare	
14.	Non-Medical Emergency Escorted Trip		Ħ		Ħ	Ħ
15.	Recreation		Ħ		Ħ	Ì
16.	Religious Practices		十一			1
17.	Voluntary Work Program		Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	П
	th Services			<u> </u>		
18.	Hunger Strikes	×				1
19.	Medical Care	l 🔯	⇈	H	Ħ	1
20.	Suicide Prevention and Intervention		⇈		一	1
21.	Terminal Illness, Advanced Directives and Death	T A	情	Ħ	亓	1
Secu	rity and Control					
2.	Contraband					1
23.	Detention Files		亓	H	Ħ	
24.	Disciplinary Policy	Ø	Ħ		Ħ	Ť
25.	Emergency Plans		╽ ╒		Ħ	1
26.	Environmental Health and Safety		Ħ		一	1
27.	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities		Ħ		Ħ	1
28.	Key and Lock Control		╅		Ħ	1
29.	Population Counts		┢		Ħ	1
30.	Post Orders		 		一	1
31.	Security Inspections	Ø	 		一	1
32.	Special Management Units (Administrative Segregation)		 		Ħ	1
33.			╅		Ħ	
34.	Special Management Units (Disciplinary Segregation) $Accept = 3c$ Tool Control $N/A = 2$ Transportation (Land management)		 	╅	Ħ	1
35.	Transportation (Land management)	 	╁	╁┼┼	一	П
36.	Use of Force	ŀ₩	 - -	┼┼┼┤	Ħ	뉌
37.	Staff / Detainee Communication (Added August 2003)		╁┼	┌╒ ┩┤	Ħ	
38.	Detainee Transfer (Added September 2004)	 	+#	╎╞═╬╸ ┦	#	ı

All findings (Deficient and At-Risk) require written comment describing the finding and what is necessary to meet compliance.

RIC Review Assurance Statement

By signing below, the Reviewer-In-Charge (RIC) certifies that all findings of noncompliance with policy or inadequate controls contained in the Inspection Report are supported by evidence that is sufficient and reliable. Furthermore, findings of noteworthy accomplishments are supported by sufficient and reliable evidence. Within the scope of the review, the facility is operating in accordance with applicable law and policy, and property and resources are efficiently used and adequately safeguarded, except for the deficiencies noted in the report.

Reviewer-In-Charge: (Print Name)	b6,b7c
Title & Duty Location	Date
Immigration Enforcement Agent, Laredo, Texas	7/27/2007
Team Members	
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location b6,b7c Immigration Enforcement Agent, Hutto	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location
Recommended Rating: Superior Good Acceptable Deficient At-Risk	

Comments: This Facility has made several changes and improvements since the last review.

HEADQUARTERS EXECUTIVE REVIEW						
Review Authori	$oldsymbol{ ext{ty}}$					
	low constitutes review of this report and acceptance by the Review Authority. OIC/CEO will have 30 days from eport to respond to all findings and recommendations.					
HQDRO EXECUT	TVE REVIEW: (Please Print Name)					
Title Chief	NUV 2 0 2007					
Final Rating:	 Superior Good Acceptable Deficient At-Risk 					
Comments:	The Review Authority concurs with the recommended rating of "Acceptable".					

Responses to the components within the review reflect changes made by HQ-DDO-TJN, after a conversation with the RIC to clarify his responses.