# ICE Detention Standards Compliance Review

Laredo Processing Center

August 12-14, 2008

REPORT DATE - August 21, 2008



Contract Number: ODT-6-D-0001 Order Number: HSCEOP-07-F-01016

> Executive Vice President Creative Corrections 6415 Calder, Suite B Beaumont, TX 77706

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Detention Standards Compliance Unit 801 I Street NW Washington, DC 20536



6415 Calder, Suite B • Beaumont, Texas 77706 409.866.9920 • www.correctionalexperts.com

Making a Difference!

August 21, 2008

**MEMORANDUM FOR:** 

James T. Hayes, Jr., Acting Director Office of Detention and Removal Operations

FROM:

SUBJECT:

Laredo Processing Center Annual Detention Review (ADR)

Reviewer-In-Charge (R)

Creative Corrections conducted an ADR of the Corrections Corporation of America's Laredo Processing Center (CCA-LPC), located in Laredo, Texas, on August 12-14, 2008. As noted on the attached documents, my team of Subject Matter Experts (SME) included 66, 67C SME for Security; 66 SME for Health Services; 66 SME for Safety; and SME for Food Services.

A closeout meeting was held on August 14, 2008 with b6. b7c Warden, and his staff to discuss significant aspects of the review. b6. b7c Assistant Field Office Director, San Antonio Field Office, also attended.

## **Type of Review**

This review is a scheduled Detention Standard Review to determine general compliance with established ICE National Detention Standards for facilities used over 72 hours.

## **Review Summary**

The facility is not accredited by the American Correctional Association (ACA), the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) or the National Commission on Correctional Health Care (NCCHC).

# **Standards Compliance**

The following statistical information outlined provides a direct comparison of the 2007 ADR and this ADR conducted for 2008.

September 25-27,	2007 Review		August 12-14, 200	8 Review
Compliant	12		Compliant	38
Deficient	23	·	Deficient	. 0 .
At Risk	0		At Risk	0
Non Applicable	3		Non Applicable	0

# **Best Practices**

#### Admission and Release Procedures

The Review Team was very impressed with the total Admission and Release program at this facility. Facility procedures virtually include the ultimate cooperation among ICE and all CCA-LPC staff. The precision with which this program operates is further noted as exceptional, given the volume of detainees processed on a regular basis. Normally, CCA-LPC accommodates two Justice Prisoner Air Transports (JPATs) weekly. During this review, we observed a mass movement of 142 Guatemalan detainees by ICE and CCA-LPC staff, executed with great efficiency. CCA-LPC is also used as a staging area by ICE staff, who bring detainees to this facility from surrounding detention centers for temporary holding or removal. The facility averages 82 admissions/releases weekly. In addition, CCA-LPC accepts detainees on a short-term basis, another (uncompensated) service for their customer. Finally, it is important to note that since January 1, 2008, CCA-LPC staff processed 18,201 detainees.

CCA-LPC staff exceeds the ICE National Detention Standard for Detention Files. The files are extremely organized, user friendly, secured, and maintained with strict accountability. CCA-LPC staff responsible for this area conducts a monthly audit of all detention files, a very impressive procedure that positively influences the admission/release program.

## Access to Medical Care

The TB screening and mangagement program at the facility is worthy of special note. TB testing is performed via digital chest x-ray taken within 24-hours of arrival. The facility contracts with a state radiology vendor who interprets the x-rays within 24-hours. The facility has also arranged for the local health department to perform follow-up testing and treatment of all detainees suspected of having TB. As a result, continuation of TB prophylaxis, treatment, and monitoring is more likely when the detainee has been released to the community or removed from the country.

#### **Recommended Rating and Justification**

The level of cooperation afforded the review team by the CCA-LPC staff made this an outstanding ADR. Facility staff was receptive to the review and were very professional, proactive, and dedicated to their responsibilities and correctional mission. The team lauded the exemplary team work of the CCA-LPC and ICE staff. It is the RIC recommendation that the facility receive a rating of "Good."

## **<u><b>RIC Assurance Statement**</u>

All findings of this review have been documented on the Detention Review Worksheet and are supported by the written documentation contained in the review file.

A. Type of Facility Reviewed	
ICE Service Processing Center	L
ICE Contract Detention Facility	
ICE Intergovernmental Service Agreement	Σ
ICE Intergovernmental Service Agreement	
B. Current Inspection	[ <b></b>
Type of Inspection	<u>T</u>
Field Office HQ Inspection	
Date[s] of Facility Review	T
August 12-14, 2008	
<u> </u>	Σ
C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review	L
Date[s] of Last Facility Review	I.
September 25-27, 2007	E
Previous Rating	1
Superior Good Acceptable Deficient At-Risk	
D. Name and Location of Facility	Ī
Name.	1
CCA Laredo Processing Center	F
Address (Street and Name)	
4702 East Saunders Street	
City, State and Zip Code	4
Laredo, Texas 78041 County	· L-
Webb	J
Name and Title of Chief Executive Officer (Warden/OIC/Superintendent)	
b6, b7c Warden	<u>T</u>
Telephone # (Include Area Code)	1
956 b6, b7c Extension b6, b7c	I
Field Office / Sub-Office (List Office with oversight responsibilities)	1
San Antonio, Texas	

Distance from Field Office 170

# E. Creative Corrections Review Team

b6, b7c	Reviewer-In-Charge	-
b6, b7c	SME for Security	
b6	SME for Health Services	
b6	, SME for Safety	
b6	SME for Food Services	

## F. CDF/IGSA Information Only

Contract Number IGA#79-02-0106	Date of Contract or IGSA April 1, 2005
Basic Rates per Man-Day \$59.79	
Other Charges: (If None, In N/A	ndicate N/A)
Estimated Man-days Per Yo 130,665	ear

## G. Accreditation Certificates

ist all State or National Accreditation[s] received:

Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]

# H. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)

The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding	
Court Order Class Action Order	
The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending	
Major Litigation Life/Safety Issues	
Check if None.	_

## I. Facility History

Date Built				
•	•			
1984				
Date Last Remodeled	or Upgraded			
January 1995-New Housin	g Unit			
Date New Construction / Bedspace Added				
N/A				
Future Construction P	lanned			
🗌 Yes 🖾 No Date:	N/A			
Current Bedspace	Future Bedspace (# New Beds only)			
412	Number: N/A Date: N/A			

## J. Total Facility Population

of Total Author Total Autor	
Total Facility Intake for previous 12 months	
14,595	 
<u>Total ICE</u> Mandays for Previous 12 months	
126,269	

# K. Classification Level (ICE SPCs and CDFs Only)

	L-1	L-2	L-3
Adult Male	278	11	0
Adult Female	60	0	0
	-		

# L. Facility Capacity

, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Rated	Operational	Emergency
Adult Male	320	332	332
Adult Female	80	80	80
<b>Facility holds</b>	Juveniles Offe	enders 16 and old	er as Adults

## M. Average Daily Population

	ICE	USMS	Other
Adult Male	288.05	0	0
Adult Female	56.95	0	0

# N. Facility Staffing Level

Security:		Support:		
	b2High			

#### Significant Incident Summary Worksheet

For ICE to complete its review of your facility, the following information <u>must be completed</u> prior to the scheduled review dates. The information on this form should contain data for the past twelve months in the boxes provided. The information on this form is used in conjunction with the ICE Detention Standards in assessing your Detention Operations against the needs of the ICE and its detained population. This form should be filled out by the facility prior to the start of any inspection. Failure to complete this section will result in a delay in processing this report and the possible reduction or removal of ICE' detainees at your facility.

Incidents	Description	Jan – Mar	Apr – Jun	Jul – Sept	Oct – Dec
Assault:	Types (Sexual <sup>2</sup> , Physical, etc.)	0 ·	1-P	2-P	2-P
Offenders on Offenders <sup>1</sup>	With Weapon	. 0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	0	1	2	2
Assault:	Types (Sexual Physical, etc.)	0	1-P	0	<u>`</u> О
Detainee on Staff	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	0	1	0	0
Number of Forced Moves, incl. Forced Cell moves <sup>3</sup>		0	• 0	0	0
Disturbances <sup>4</sup>		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Chemical Agents Used		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Special Reaction Team Deployed/Used		0	0	0	0
# Times Four/Five Point	Number/Reason (M=Medical, V=Violent Behavior, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Restraints applied/used	Type (C=Chair, B=Bed, BB=Board, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Offender / Detainee Medical Referrals as a result of injuries sustained.		0	0	0	0
Escapes	Attempted	0	0	0	0
	Actual	0	0	0	0
Grievances:	# Received	2	0	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	# Resolved in favor of Offender/Detainee	0	0	0	0
Deaths	Reason (V=Violent, I=Illness, S=Suicide, A=Attempted Suicide, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
	Number	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric / Medical Referrals	# Medical Cases referred for Outside Care	5	8	3	0 .
	# Psychiatric Cases referred for Outside Care	0	0	Ó	0

Any attempted physical contact or physical contact that involves two or more offenders

Oral, anal or vaginal penetration or attempted penetration involving at least 2 parties, whether it is consenting or non-consenting

Routine transportation of detainees/offenders is not considered "forced"

Any incident that involves four or more detainees/offenders, includes gang fights, organized multiple hunger strikes, work stoppages, hostage situations, major fires, or other large scale incidents.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE)

Form G-324A SIS (Rev. 7/9/07)

# Department Of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement

DUS/ICE Detention Standards	Derview Commence Deve and		
DHS/ICE Detention Standards 1. Acceptable 2. Deficient	3. At Risk 4. Repeat Findi	ng 5.Not Applicable	
Legal Access Standards	3. At Kisk 4. Repeat Find	ing 5.Not Applicable	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
1. Access to Legal Mater	ials		
2. Group Presentations o			
3. Visitation	n Logai Mgnis		
4. Telephone Access	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Detainee Services	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5. Admission and Release	A		
6. Classification System			
7. Correspondence and C	)ther Mail		
8. Detainee Handbook		· · · ·	
9. Food Service	· .		
10. Funds and Personal P	ronerty	~	
11. Detainee Grievance Pr			
· · · ·	e of Clothing, Bedding, and Towels		
13. Marriage Requests	G, G,		
14. Non-Medical Emergen	cy Escorted Trip		
15. Recreation			
16. Religious Practices			
17. Voluntary Work Prog	ram		
Health Services			
18. Hunger Strikes			
19. Medical Care			
20. Suicide Prevention and	I Intervention		
21. Terminal Illness, Adva	nced Directives and Death		
Security and Control			
22. Contraband			
23. Detention Files			
24. Disciplinary Policy			
25. Emergency Plans			
26. Environmental Health			
27. Hold Rooms in Detent		·	
28. Key and Lock Control			
29. Population Counts			
30. Post Orders			
31. Security Inspections			
	Units (Administrative Segregation)	• •	
	Inits (Disciplinary Segregation)		
34. Tool Control			
35. Transportation (Land	management)		
36. Use of Force	uniontion (Addad America 2002)	a second and a second	
	unication (Added August 2003) Ided September 2004)		
So. Detainee Fransier (AC	iucu September 2004)		

All findings (Deficient and At-Risk) require written comment describing the finding and what is necessary to meet compliance.

Department Of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement

#### **RIC Review Assurance Statement**

By signing below, the Reviewer-In-Charge (RIC) certifies that all findings of noncompliance with policy or inadequate controls contained in the Inspection Report are supported by evidence that is sufficient and reliable. Furthermore, findings of noteworthy accomplishments are supported by sufficient and reliable evidence. Within the scope of the review, the facility is operating in accordance with applicable law and policy, and property and resources are efficiently used and adequately safeguarded, except for the deficiencies noted in the report.

Reviewer-In-Charge: (Print Name)	Signquire	
Title & Duty Location	Dale 66, b7c	
Reviewer-In-Charge	August 14, 2008	

Team Members		
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	
b6, b7c , SME for Security	SME for Health Services	-
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	·
b6 SME for Safety	b6 , SME for Food Services	· .

**Recommended Rating:** 

	Superior
Х	Good
	Acceptable
	Deficient
	At-Risk

Comments: Laredo Processing Center staff has outstanding communications with all detainees as reflected by the information on the Significant Incident Summary Worksheet. We observed this throughout the ADR Review. They are to be commended for effectively dealing with a very diverse and difficult population.

# **HEADQUARTERS EXECUTIVE REVIEW**

**No Rating** 

### **Review Authority**

The signature below constitutes review of this report and acceptance by the Review Authority. OIC/CEO will have 30 days from receipt of this report to respond to all findings and recommendations.

HQDRO EXECUTIVE REVIEW: (Please Print Name)	Signatu.
Title	Date
Acting Chief, Detention Standards Compliance Unit	sec. dl, Loog
Final Rating: 🔄 Superior	
Acceptable	
Deficient	
At-Risk	

Comments:

The Review Authority concurs with the recommended rating of "Good." A Plan of Action is required for the line item deficiencies noted in the Environmental Health and Safety standard.