ORIGINAL FORT WORTH DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ No. 4-11 CR - 097 V. CHRISTOPHER BLACKWELL U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **INDICTMENT** FILED The Grand Jury Charges: JN 2 | 2011 Introduction CLERK, U.S. DISTRIC I. Deputy

- At all times material to this Indictment:
 - A. Defendant Christopher Blackwell ("Blackwell") was a resident of Colleyville, Texas, in the Fort Worth Division of the Northern District of Texas.
 - В. D.W. was a resident of Dallas, Texas, in the Northern District of Texas.
 - C. D.W. operated a business known as Seiko Investments.
- II. The Scheme to Defraud
 - A. Between approximately January 1, 2007 and the present, Blackwell operated a scheme and artifice to defraud investors by making materially false representations. Blackwell deceived potential investors by falsely telling them that he would invest their money in business ventures that would generate a high rate of return, and by fraudulently assuring them that the investments would involve little to no risk.

- B. Blackwell told investors that their money would be invested in specific business ventures. But when he received money from investors, he did not invest those funds. Instead, he used the majority of the money for his own personal benefit. Blackwell also occasionally used some of the funds received from new investors to make small payments to earlier investors. These payments were designed to convince investors that their money was generating a profit. Not all investors received payments from Blackwell, and many lost all of the money they invested.
- C. **Blackwell** occasionally produced false account statements showing that investments had appreciated. In reality, no investments had been made.
- D. In January, 2009, D.W. invested \$325,000 with Blackwell. Blackwell convinced D.W. to give him the money by falsely representing that he would invest D.W.'s money in business ventures that would realize substantial gains. D.W. relied on Blackwell's representations, and would not have given Blackwell the money had he known that those representations were false.
- E. Blackwell told D.W. where to wire his investment money, and D.W. wired the money pursuant to Blackwell's instructions. Blackwell, however, did not invest the money sent to him by D.W. Instead, Blackwell used the money for his own personal benefit.

- F. After wiring money to **Blackwell**, D.W. did not receive the payments or returns that he had been promised. D.W. asked **Blackwell** why he was not receiving payments, and **Blackwell** told D.W. that the payments were late because a wire transfer from HSBC bank had been delayed. **Blackwell** told D.W. that he would provide proof of the wire transfer.
- G. On September 30, 2009, **Blackwell** sent D.W. an email with an attached letter purportedly from HSBC bank. The attachment appeared to be on HSBC bank letterhead, and purported to show that \$250,000 dollars had been transferred to D.W.'s business, Seiko Investments, from HSBC bank. HSBC bank later confirmed that the document was fraudulent.
- H. To date, D.W. has not received any payments from Blackwell.
- I. During the course of his scheme to defraud, and in furtherance of it,
 Blackwell caused a number of wire communications to be sent. Because
 Blackwell recruited investors from a variety of geographic areas, he made
 and caused to be made a large number of interstate wire communications in
 furtherance of his scheme, including wire transfers of money, emails, and
 phone calls.

Count One Wire Fraud (Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343)

The Grand Jury realleges the allegations set forth in the Introduction to this Indictment.

On or about January 20, 2009, in the Northern District of Texas and elsewhere, defendant **Christopher Blackwell**, for the purpose of executing and in furtherance of the above scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money by means of materially false representations, knowingly caused to be transmitted in interstate commerce, by means of a wire communication, certain signs and signals, that is, **Blackwell** caused D.W., via D.W.'s stock broker in Dallas, Texas, to wire \$75,000 from Wells Fargo Bank in San Francisco, California to Bank of America in New York, New York.

All done in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1343.

Count Two Wire Fraud (Violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343)

The Grand Jury realleges the allegations set forth in the Introduction to this Indictment.

On or about January 21, 2009, in the Northern District of Texas and elsewhere, defendant **Christopher Blackwell**, for the purpose of executing and in furtherance of the above scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money by means of materially false representations, knowingly caused to be transmitted in interstate commerce, by means of a wire communication, certain signs and signals, that is, **Blackwell** caused D.W. to wire \$250,000 from an account held at Compass Bank in Richardson, Texas to Bank of America in New York, New York.

All done in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1343.

A TRUE BILL

FOREMA!

JAMES T. JACKS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

JAY STEVENSON WEIMER Assistant United States Attorney SBOT #24013727 801 Cherry Street, Suite 1700

Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6882

Telephone: 817-252-5200 Facsimile: 817-978-3094

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

CHRISTOPHER BLACKWELL (1)

INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1343 Wire Fraud

18 U.S.C. § 1343 Wire Fraud

A true bill rendered:	CAMAN A
DALLAS	FOREPERSON
Filed in open court this 21st day of June, A.D. 2011.	
In custody	

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE (Magistrate Court Number, if applicable)

Crim	inal Case Cover Sheet	<u> </u>
	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT RTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	Related Case Information Superseding Indictment: □ Yes ☒ No
1.	Defendant Information Juvenile: □ Yes ⊠ No	New Defendant: Yes No Pending CR Case in NDTX: Yes No Search Warrant Case Number:
	Sealed: □ Yes ⊠ No	Rule 20 from District of: Magistrate Case Number: 4:11-MJ-116-BJ-FW PECEIVED
	Defendant Name CHRISTOPHER BLACKY Alias Name Address	VELL(1) CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEX
2.	U.S. Attorney Information AUSA Jay Stevenson Weimer	Bar #_24013727
3.	Interpreter □ Yes ☑ No If Yes, list language and/or dialect	t:
4.	Location Status	
	Arrest Date: 6/01/2011	
	☐ Federal Inmate ☐ Already in State Custody ☐ On Pretrial Release ☐ Warrant to Issue	
5.	U.S.C. Citations	
	Total # of Counts as to This Defer	ndant: 2 □ Petty □ Misdemeanor ☑ Felony
	<u>Citation</u> <u>D</u>	Description of Offense Charged Count(s)
	18 U.S.C. § 1343	Vire Fraud 1
	18 U.S.C. § 1343	Vire Fraud 2
	Date 6/20/11	Signature of AUSA: