Case 2:14-cr-00030-JS Document 1 Filed 01/23/14 Page 1 of 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | ; | CRIMINAL NO. 14- 30 | |
|--|-----|---|--|
| ν. | : | DATE FILED: | |
| JASON SCOTT BECKTOLD a/k/a "Scotty" | : : | VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. § 2251(a), 2251(e) (using or inducing a child to pose for child pornography images - 4 counts) 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b) (use of an interstate commerce facility to entice a minor to engage in sexual conduct - 4 counts) 18 U.S.C. § 2 (aiding and abetting) Notice of forfeiture | |

INDICTMENT

COUNTS ONE AND TWO

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:

In or about August 2013, on two separate occasions, each constituting a separate

count, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JASON SCOTT BECKTOLD, a/k/a "Scotty"

employed, used, persuaded, induced, enticed, and coerced Minor #1 and other Minors unknown to the defendant, to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual depiction of that conduct, that is, by directing Minor #1 to record sexual activity between Minor #1 and other Minors and transmit the visual recording to BECKTOLD via the Internet, and defendant BECKTOLD knew and had reason to know that the visual depiction would be transported or transmitted using a facility of interstate and foreign commerce (that is, the Internet) and in and

Case 2:14-cr-00030-JS Document 1 Filed 01/23/14 Page 2 of 8

affecting interstate and foreign commerce, and the visual depiction was produced or transmitted using materials that have been mailed, shipped, or transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), 2251(e), and 2.

Case 2:14-cr-00030-JS Document 1 Filed 01/23/14 Page 3 of 8

COUNTS THREE AND FOUR

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

In or about August 2013, on two separate occasions, each constituting a separate count, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JASON SCOTT BECKTOLD, a/k/a "Scotty"

used a facility and means of interstate and foreign commerce, that is, the Internet, to persuade, induce, entice and coerce Minor #1, who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity, for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, that is, BECKTOLD directed Minor #1 to record sexual activity between Minor #1 and other Minors and transmit the visual recording to BECKTOLD via the Internet.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

Case 2:14-cr-00030-JS Document 1 Filed 01/23/14 Page 4 of 8

COUNT FIVE

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

From in or about August 2013 to in or about September 2013, in the Eastern

District of Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JASON SCOTT BECKTOLD, a/k/a "Scotty"

used a facility and means of interstate and foreign commerce, that is, the Internet, to persuade, induce, entice and coerce Minor #1, who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity, for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, that is, BECKTOLD sent Minor #1 underwear through the mail and directed him to engage in sexually explicit conduct and to transmit by video Minor #1 engaged in sexually explicit conduct.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

COUNTS SIX AND SEVEN

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

From in or about August 2013 through in or about September 2013, on two separate occasions, each constituting a separate count, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JASON SCOTT BECKTOLD, a/k/a "Scotty"

employed, used, persuaded, induced, enticed, and coerced, and attempted to employ, use, persuade, induce, entice, and coerce, and aided and abetted the employ, use, persuasion, inducement, enticement, and coercion of Minor #1 and other Minors unknown to the defendant, to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual depiction of that conduct, that is, by directing Minor #1 to place a concealed recording device in the boys locker room at Minor #1's public school to record other minor boys in various states of dress and undress, and defendant BECKTOLD knew and had reason to know that the visual depiction would be transported or transmitted using a facility of interstate and foreign commerce (that is, the Internet) and in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce, and the visual depiction was produced or transmitted using materials that have been mailed, shipped, or transported in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce by any means, including by computer.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), 2251(e), and 2.

COUNT EIGHT

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

From in or about August 2013 through in or about September 2013, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and elsewhere, the defendant,

JASON SCOTT BECKTOLD, a/k/a "Scotty"

used a facility and means of interstate and foreign commerce, that is, the Internet, to persuade, induce, entice and coerce Minor #1, who had not attained the age of 18 years, to engage in sexual activity, for which any person could be charged with a criminal offense, that is, BECKTOLD directed Minor #1 to place a concealed recording device in the boys locker room at Minor #1's public school to record other minor boys in various states of dress and undress.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2422(b).

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE

THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:

As a result of the violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), and 2422(b), as set forth in this indictment, defendant

JASON SCOTT BECKTOLD, a/k/a "Scotty"

shall forfeit to the United States of America:

(a) any visual depiction described in section 2251, or any film, videotape,
or other matter which contains any such visual depiction, which was produced, transported, mailed,
shipped, or received as a result of such violation;

 (b) any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross profits or other proceeds obtained from such violation;

(c) any property, real or personal, used or intended to be used to commit or to promote the commission of such violation; and

(d) any property used or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of, the violations of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2251(a), and 2422(b).

 If any of the property subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

(a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

(b) has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;

Case 2:14-cr-00030-JS Document 1 Filed 01/23/14 Page 8 of 8

- (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or
- has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2253(b),

incorporating Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property

of the defendant(s) up to the value of the property subject to forfeiture.

All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 2253.

A TRUE BILL:

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

cur ZANE DAVID MEMEGER United States Attorney

Case 2:14-cr-00030-JS Document 1-1 Filed 01/23/14 Page 1 of 1



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NDICTMENT

DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250, Philadelphia, PA 19106-4476 Post Office: Philadelphia County: Philadelphia City and State of Defendant: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma County: Oklahoma Register number: <u>N/A</u> Place of accident, incident, or transaction: Eastern District of Pennsylvania Post Office: Philadelphia Philadelphia County: RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Criminal cases are deemed related when the answer to the following question is "yes".

Does this case involve a defendant or defendants alleged to have participated in the same action or transaction, or in the same series of acts or transactions, constituting an offense or offenses?

YES/NO: No

| Case N | Number: No | Judge: N/A | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| CRIMINAL: | (Criminal Category - FOR (| JSE BY U.S. ATTOR | NEY ONLY) |
| _* | ^C Antitrust | | |
| 2. | C Income Tax and other Ta | x Prosecutions | |
| 3. | ^C Commercial Mail Fraud | | |
| 4, | Controlled Substances | | |
| 5. | ^C Violations of 18 U.S.C. C and Mail Fraud other than c | | ctions 1951-55 and 1961-68) |
| STAT | ^{(*} General Criminal ATTORNEY WILL PLEAST UTE CHARGED TO BE VIO INAL NUMBER FOR SPEE | DLATED AND STAT | E ANY PREVIOUS |
| 18 U.S.C. § 22 | 251(a), 2251(e); 18 U.S.C. §1 | 2 <u>422(8); 18 U.Ş.CŞ.</u> 2 | ; Noticy of Forfeiture |
| ······································ | | T alla L | 11 Jul to |

DATE: 1/23/2014

where will the

Michelle Rotella Assistant United States Attorney

File No. 2014R00015 U.S. v. Jason Scott Becktold, #/k/a "Scotty"