## Department Of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement

## A. Type of Facility Reviewed

- ICE Service Processing Center
- ICE Contract Detention Facility
- ICE Intergovernmental Service Agreement

## **B.** Current Inspection

 $\boxtimes$ 

Type of Inspection
Field Office HQ Inspection
Date[s] of Facility Review
11/6/2018 - 11/8/2018

# C. Previous/Most Recent Facility Review

Date[s] of Last Facility R	eview
10/24/2017-10/26/2017	
Previous Rating	
Meets Standards	Does Not Meet Standards

## D. Name and Location of Facility


Name
Theo Lacy Facility
Address (Street and Name)
501 The City Drive South
City, State and Zip Code
Orange, CA 92868
County
Orange
Name and Title of Facility Administrator
(Warden/OIC/Superintendent)
Telephone # (Include Area Code)
Field Office / Sub-Office (List Office with oversight
responsibilities)
Los Angeles
Distance from Field Office

## E. ICE Information

30

E. ICE Information
Name of Inspector (Last Name, Title and Duty Station)
/ LCI/Detainee Rights SME / Nakamoto Group
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location
/ Safety SME / Nakamoto Group
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location
/ Security SME / Nakamoto Group
Name of Team Member / Title / Duty Location
/ Medical SME / Nakamoto Group

## F. CDF/IGSA Information Only



#### Detention Review Summary Form Facilities Used Over 72 hours

Estimated Man-days Per Year

# G. Accreditation Certificates

List all State or National Accreditation[s] received:

Check box if facility has no accreditation[s]

## H. Problems / Complaints (Copies must be attached)

The Facility is under Court Order or Class Action Finding
Court Order Class Action Order
The Facility has Significant Litigation Pending
Major Litigation Life/Safety Issues
Check if None.

## I. Facility History

Date Built	
Date Last Remodeled	or Upgraded
Date New Constructio	n / Bedspace Added
Future Construction P	lanned
Date:	
Current Bedspace	Future Bedspace (# New Beds only)
_	Number: Date:

# J. Total Facility Population

Total Facility Intake for previous 12 months

Total ICE Mandays for Previous 12 months

# K. Classification Level (ICE SPCs and CDFs Only)

			Omy)
	L-1	L-2	L-3
Adult Male	N/A	N/A	N/A
Adult Female	N/A	N/A	N/A

## L. Facility Capacity

Rated	Operational	Emergency

## M. Average Daily Population

	Ι	CE	USMS		01	ther

## N. Facility Staffing Level

Security:	Support:	
-----------	----------	--

#### Significant Incident Summary Worksheet

For The Nakamoto Group to complete its review of your facility, the following information must be completed prior to the scheduled review dates. The information on this form should contain data for the past twelve months in the boxes provided. The information on this form is used in conjunction with the ICE Detention Standards in assessing your Detention Operations against the needs of the ICE and its detained population. This form should be filled out by the facility prior to the start of any inspection. Failure to complete this section will result in a delay in processing this report and the possible reduction or removal of ICE' detainees at your facility.

Incidents	Description	Jan – Mar	Apr – Jun	Jul – Sept	Oct – Dec
Assault:	Types (Sexual <sup>2</sup> , Physical, etc.)	Р	Р	Р	Р
Offenders on Offenders <sup>1</sup>	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	7	9	13	9
Assault:	Types (Sexual Physical, etc.)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Detainee on Staff	With Weapon	0	0	0	0
	Without Weapon	0	0	0	0
Number of Forced Moves, incl. Forced Cell moves <sup>3</sup>		0	0	0	0
Disturbances <sup>4</sup>		0	0	0	0
Number of Times Chemical Agents Used		0	0	1	0
Number of Times Special Reaction Team Deployed/Used		0	0	0	0
# Times Four/Five Point	Number/Reason (M=Medical, V=Violent Behavior, O=Other)	0	0	0	0
Restraints applied/used	Type (C=Chair, B=Bed, BB=Board, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Times Canines Used in Facility		0	0	0	0
Offender / Detainee Medical Referrals as a result of injuries sustained.		0	0	0	0
Escapes	Attempted	0	0	0	0
-	Actual	0	0	0	0
Grievances:	# Received	8	12	13	3
	# Resolved in favor of Offender/Detainee	2	2	2	1
Deaths	Reason (V=Violent, I=Illness, S=Suicide, A=Attempted Suicide, O=Other)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Number	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric / Medical Referrals	# Medical Cases referred for Outside Care	52	31	60	37
	# Psychiatric Cases referred for Outside Care	0	0	0	0

<sup>1</sup> Any attempted physical contact or physical contact that involves two or more offenders

<sup>2</sup> Oral, anal or vaginal penetration or attempted penetration involving at least 2 parties, whether it is consenting or non-consenting 3

Routine transportation of detainees/offenders is not considered "forced"

<sup>4</sup> Any incident that involves four or more detainees/offenders, includes gang fights, organized multiple hunger strikes, work stoppages, hostage situations, major fires, or other large scale incidents.

	DHS/ICE Detention Standards Review Summary Report					
<b>1.</b> I	Meets Standards 2. Does Not Meet Standards 3.Repeat Finding 4. Not Applicable	1	2	3	4	
PA	RT 1 SAFETY					
1	Emergency Plans	$\boxtimes$				
2	Environmental Health and Safety	$\boxtimes$				
3	Transportation (By Land)				$\boxtimes$	
PA	RT 2 SECURITY					
4	Admission and Release	$\boxtimes$				
5	Classification System	$\boxtimes$				
6	Contraband	$\square$				
7	Facility Security and Control	$\boxtimes$				
8	Funds and Personal Property	$\boxtimes$				
9	Hold Rooms in Detention Facilities	$\boxtimes$				
10	Key and Lock Control	$\square$				
11	Population Counts	$\boxtimes$				
12	Post Orders	$\boxtimes$				
13	Searches of Detainees	$\boxtimes$				
14	Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention	$\boxtimes$				
15	Special Management Units	$\boxtimes$				
16	Staff-Detainee Communication	$\boxtimes$				
17	Tool Control	$\square$				
18	Use of Force and Restraints	$\square$				
PA	RT 3 ORDER					
19	Disciplinary System	$\square$				
PA	RT 4 CARE		-			
20	Food Service	$\square$				
21	Hunger Strikes	$\boxtimes$				
22	Medical Care					
23	Personal Hygiene					
24	Suicide Prevention and Intervention					
25	Terminal Illness, Advance Directives, and Death					
-	RT 5 ACTIVITIES					
26	Correspondence and Other Mail					
27	Escorted Trips for Non-Medical Emergencies					
28	Marriage Requests					
29	Recreation					
30	Religious Practices					
31	Telephone Access					
32	Visitation					
33	Voluntary Work Program					
34	Detainee Handbook					
35	Grievance System				L	
36	Law Libraries and Legal Material					
37	Legal Rights Group Presentations					
	RT 7 ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT					
38	Detention Files					
39	News Media Interviews and Tours					
40	Staff Training					
41	Transfer of Detainees	$\boxtimes$				

#### LCI Review Assurance Statement

By signing below, the Lead Compliance Inspector (LCI) certifies that all findings of noncompliance with policy or inadequate controls contained in the Inspection Report are supported by evidence that is sufficient and reliable. Furthermore, findings of noteworthy accomplishments are supported by sufficient and reliable evidence. Within the scope of the review, the facility is operating in accordance with applicable law and policy, and property and resources are efficiently used and adequately safeguarded, except for the deficiencies noted in the report.

Lead Compliance Inspector: (Print Name)	Signature
Title & Duty Location	Date
Lead Compliance Inspector, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	11/8/2018

Team	Members
------	---------

I cam wembers		
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	
, Safety SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	, Security SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	
Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	Print Name, Title, & Duty Location	
, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	, Medical SME, The Nakamoto Group, Inc.	

**Recommended Rating:** 

⊠ Meets Standards □ Does Not Meet Standards

Comments: This inspection was conducted to determine overall compliance with the ICE Performance-Based National Detention Standards (PBNDS 2008) and the Sexual Abuse and Assault Prevention and Intervention Standard (SAAPI PBNDS 2011).

There were six allegations of sexual assault or abuse involving an ICE detainee during this inspection period. All six allegations pertained to detainee-on-detainee all were determined to be unfounded. Procedures conducted were in accordance with the requirements of the standard.

Tasers are approved for use by staff trained in their use. Officers are trained on the use of oleoresin capsicum/pepper spray (OC). The Orange County Sheriff's office has a canine unit which may occasionally be used for contraband detection in the facility but never in the presence of ICE detainees.

All officers receive training in the pre-service academy in the use of the carotid control hold and policy does not prohibit the use of carotid control holds. The use of force standard prohibits this technique as a use force option for detainees. The compliance officer confirmed there have been no incidents during the inspection period involving ICE detainees where use of the carotid control hold was used. The use of neck restraints, using batons to apply choke holds, intentional baton strikes to the head, groin, solar plexus, kidneys and striking a detainee for failure to obey an order are prohibited.

During this inspection period there have been seven immediate use of force incidents involving ICE detainees. Three of the incidents involved detainee on detainee fights where staff had to use physical force to stop detainees from fighting and apply restraints. In one of these incidents staff dispensed OC (pepper spray) via a "pepper ball" round. In another incident the facility staff used a Taser to subdue a detainee who refused staff orders to stop fighting. In the other four incidents detainees were combative towards staff and

Form G-324A SIS (Rev. 9/3/08)

were restrained to control the detainee's behavior. There were no staff or detainee injuries as a result of any of the use of force incidents and in all cases staff and detainees were seen by medical staff as soon as the situation was under control. Review of the written documentation of the incidents indicated that the force used was appropriate in compliance with policy and the standard. An after action review of each incident was conducted for each use of force.