



U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Office of Professional Responsibility
Inspections and Detention Oversight Division
Washington, DC 20536-5501

**Office of Detention Oversight
Compliance Inspection**

**Enforcement and Removal Operations
ERO Salt Lake City Field Office**

**Cache County Jail
Logan, Utah**

April 19-21, 2022

**COMPLIANCE INSPECTION
of the
CACHE COUNTY JAIL
Logan, Utah**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FACILITY OVERVIEW 4

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION PROCESS 5

CONCLUSION 6



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION TEAM MEMBERS



Team Lead
Inspections and Compliance Specialist
Contractor
Contractor

ODO
ODO
Creative Corrections
Creative Corrections

FACILITY OVERVIEW

The U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR) Office of Detention Oversight (ODO) attempted to conduct a follow-up compliance inspection of the Cache County Jail (CCJ) in Logan, Utah, from April 19 to 21, 2022.¹ CCJ opened in 2004 and is owned and operated by the Cache County Sheriff's Office. The ICE Office of Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) began housing detainees at CCJ in April 2018 under the oversight of ERO's Field Office Director in Salt Lake City (ERO SLC). CCJ currently operates under the National Detention Standards (NDS) 2000.

ERO SLC has not assigned deportation officers nor a detention services manager to CCJ. A CCJ jail commander handles daily facility operations and manages support personnel. CBM Managed Services provides food and commissary services, and Armor Correctional Health Services provides medical care at the facility. CCJ does not hold any accreditations from any outside entities.

Capacity and Population Statistics	Quantity
ICE Detainee Bed Capacity ²	
Average ICE Detainee Population ³	
Male Detainee Population (as of April 6, 2022)	
Female Detainee Population (as of April 6, 2022)	

ODO attempted to inspect CCJ twice in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021; however, CCJ refused both of ODO's inspections. During its last completed inspection, in FY 2020, ODO found 18 deficiencies in the following areas: Access to Legal Material (1); Admission and Release (2); Detainee Classification System (2); Food Service (2); Recreation (1); Staff Detainee Communication (1); Telephone Access (1); Visitation (4); Environmental Health & Safety (3); and Use of Force (1).

¹ This facility holds male and female detainees with low, medium-low, medium-high, and high security classification levels for periods longer than 72 hours.

² Data Source: ERO Facility List Report as of March 28, 2022.

³ *Ibid.*

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION PROCESS

ODO conducts oversight inspections of ICE detention facilities with an average daily population greater than 10, and where detainees are housed for longer than 72 hours, to assess compliance with ICE national detention standards. These inspections focus solely on facility compliance with detention standards that directly affect detainee life, health, safety, and/or well-being.⁴

ODO identifies violations of ICE detention standards, ICE policies, or operational procedures as “deficiencies.” ODO also highlights instances in which the facility resolves deficiencies prior to completion of the ODO inspection. Where applicable, these corrective actions are annotated with “C” under the *Compliance Inspection Findings* section of this report.

Beginning fiscal year (FY) 2022, ODO will conduct focused reviews of under 72-hour ICE detention facilities with an average daily population (ADP) of 1 or more detainees and over 72-hour ICE detention facilities with an ADP of 1-9 detainees. Additionally, ODO will conduct unannounced inspections of ICE detention facilities, regardless of ADP of detainees, as well as reviews of ICE special/emerging detention facilities/programs. As such, these facility inspections will result in an ODO Inspection Compliance Rating. ODO will conduct a complete review of several core standards, in accordance with the facility’s new contractually required ICE National Detention Standards, which include but are not limited to Medical Care/Health Care, Medical Care (Women)/Health Care (Females), Hunger Strikes, Suicide Prevention, Food Service, Environmental Health and Safety, Emergency Plans, Use of Force and Restraints/Use of Physical Control Measures and Restraints, Special Management Units, Educational Policy (FRS only), Behavior Management (FRS only), Admission and Release, Classification, and Funds and Personal Property.

Upon completion of each inspection, ODO conducts a closeout briefing with facility and local ERO officials to discuss preliminary findings. A summary of these findings is shared with ERO management officials. Thereafter, ODO provides ICE leadership with a final compliance inspection report to: (i) assist ERO in developing and initiating corrective action plans; and (ii) provide senior executives with an independent assessment of facility operations. ODO’s findings inform ICE executive management in its decision-making to better allocate resources across the agency’s entire detention inventory.

⁴ ODO reviews the facility’s compliance with selected standards in their entirety.

CONCLUSION

ODO attempted to conduct an on-site compliance inspection of CCJ; however, on March 22, 2022, CCJ notified ERO SLC they refused this ODO scheduled on-site compliance inspection. As a result of the facility refusing the inspection, ODO will mark all line items that would have been inspected deficient and ODO will assign a facility rating of “Failure” to this inspection. ODO notes this is the third time CCJ has refused an ODO inspection since April 2021, and recommends ERO discontinue its use of CCJ until the facility permits and passes a full compliance inspection.

Compliance Inspection Results Compared	FY 2021 (NDS 2000)	FY 2022 (NDS 2000)
Facility Rating	N/A	Failure