

1 DAVID J. BERGER, State Bar No. 147645
THOMAS J. MARTIN, State Bar No. 150039
2 CATHERINE E. MORENO, State Bar No. 264517
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
3 Professional Corporation
650 Page Mill Road
4 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
5 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
Email: tmartin@wsgr.com

6 PAUL CHAVEZ, State Bar No. 241576
7 ROBIN GOLDFADEN, State Bar No. 208055
LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS
8 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
131 Steuart Street, Suite 400
9 San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 543-9444
10 Facsimile: (415) 543-0296
Email: pchavez@lccr.com

11 JULIA HARUMI MASS, State Bar No. 189649
12 JINGNI (JENNY) ZHAO, State Bar No. 284684
ALAN L. SCHLOSSER, State Bar No. 49957
13 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.
14 39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
15 Telephone: (415) 621-2493
Facsimile: (415) 255-8437
16 Email: jmass@aclunc.org

17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

18
19 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20
21 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

22 UELIAN DE ABADIA-PEIXOTO, *et al.*,) Case No.: 3:11-cv-4001 RS

23 Plaintiffs,)

) **CLASS ACTION**

24 v.)

) **NOTICE OF PROPOSED CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT**

25 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF)
HOMELAND SECURITY, *et al.*,)

26 Defendants.)
27)
28)

1 **IMPORTANT: PLEASE READ CAREFULLY**

2 **To: All current and future adult immigration detainees who have or will have proceedings in**
3 **immigration court in San Francisco during the period from December 23, 2011 to April 10,**
4 **2017 (the “Class”).**

5 This notice is being given by Order of the Court to individuals who may be members of a
6 class of current and future adult immigration detainees who have or will have proceedings in
7 immigration court in San Francisco during the period from December 23, 2011 to April 10, 2017,
8 who are affected by the settlement of a class action lawsuit called *De Abadia Peixoto et al. v.*
9 *United States Dept. of Homeland Security, et al.*, Case No.: 3:11-CV-4001 RS (U.S. District
10 Court, Northern District of California).

11 The lawsuit claims that the practice, employed by Immigration and Customs Enforcement
12 (“ICE”), of restraining all immigration detainees during their appearances in San Francisco
13 Immigration Court was unconstitutional, and a violation of detainees’ due process rights.
14 Defendants, which include the United States Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”); Rand
15 Beers, Acting Secretary of DHS; United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement (“ICE”);
16 John Sandweg, Acting Director of ICE; Timothy Aitken, Field Office Director of the San
17 Francisco District of ICE; Eric H. Holder, Jr., United States Attorney General; the Executive
18 Office for Immigration Review (“EOIR”); and Juan P. Osuna, Director of EOIR (collectively,
19 “Defendants”) deny all wrongdoing.

20 The District Court has scheduled a hearing to consider the settlement on April 10, 2014 at
21 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 3, 17th Floor at the United States District Court, United States
22 Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102.

23 This hearing is referred to as the settlement hearing or fairness hearing. If the District
24 Court approves this settlement, all claims by Class members against Defendants that were or could
25 have been asserted on behalf of the Class arising from or related to the facts and circumstances
26 alleged in the lawsuit will be forever released (the “Released Claims”). The Released Claims
27 include all claims for injunctive or declaratory relief (whether known or unknown) that could have
28 been brought on behalf of members of the Class at any time prior to the settlement challenging the

1 lawfulness of policies or procedures governing the use of restraints in San Francisco Immigration
2 Court. This settlement does not release any individual class member's (other than the named
3 plaintiffs') ability to bring a claim for monetary damages in his or her individual capacity arising
4 from or related to injury suffered as a result of Defendants' application of restraints on such class
5 member. Nor does it impact any individual class member's (other than the named plaintiffs')
6 ability to argue that the application of restraints adversely affected his or her ability to defend or
7 present his or her case to the San Francisco Immigration Court.

8 **The purpose of this notice is to inform you of:**

- 9 • **The nature of the lawsuit, and who is a member of the class;**
- 10 • **Your right to object to the settlement;**
- 11 • **A description of the terms of the proposed settlement; and**
- 12 • **How to find out more information about the proposed settlement.**

13 **THE LAWSUIT AND THE CLASS**

14 This lawsuit was filed as a class action on August 15, 2011 by four immigration detainees
15 who had, or were scheduled to have, proceedings before the San Francisco Immigration Court.
16 The lawsuit claims that Defendants' then-extant policy of restraining all immigration detainees
17 during their immigration court proceedings was unconstitutional, and in violation of detainees'
18 due process rights. The plaintiffs sought injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

19 On December 23, 2011, the Court ruled that plaintiffs' claims could go forward on behalf
20 of a class defined as "all current and future adult immigration detainees who have or will have
21 proceedings in immigration court in San Francisco."

22 The Court's order designated the following lawyers to serve as counsel to the class ("Class
23 Counsel") in this action:

24 DAVID J. BERGER, State Bar No. 147645
25 CATHERINE E. MORENO, State Bar No. 264517
26 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
27 Professional Corporation
28 650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 565-5100

1 PAUL CHAVEZ, State Bar No. 241576
LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS
2 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
131 Steuart Street, Suite 400
3 San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 543-9444
4 Facsimile: (415) 543-0296

5 JULIA HARUMI MASS, State Bar No. 189649
ALAN L. SCHLOSSER, State Bar No. 49957
6 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.
7 39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
8 Telephone: (415) 621-2493
Facsimile: (415) 255-8437
9

10 The Court's order also appointed named plaintiffs Uelian De Abadia Peixoto, Pedro
11 Nolasco Jose, Mi Lian Wei, and Esmar Cifuentes as Class Representatives.

12 **DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT**

13 On December 18, 2013, the parties to this lawsuit reached a proposed settlement. The
14 settlement agreement provides, in summary, that:

- 15 1. Defendants' policy for the use of restraints in San Francisco Immigration Court will
16 preserve the dignity of immigration court proceedings as well as the dignity of
17 individual detainees appearing in court, while protecting the safety and security of all
18 participants and other detainees, ICE and EOIR personnel, and members of the public.
- 19 2. In general, all detainees will appear at Master Calendar hearings in full restraints
20 (meaning leg restraints, a waist chain and/or handcuffs). However, any Supervisory
21 Detention and Deportation Officer ("SDDO") may modify the level of restraints used
22 at Master Calendar hearings in exigent circumstances, or upon a detainee's request to
23 have such restraints removed or reduced, if the detainee is suffering from a physical,
24 psychological or medical condition that would prevent the application of restraints in a
25 safe and humane manner. The SDDO's decision regarding a detainee's request to
26 remove or reduce the level of restraints to be applied in a Master Calendar Hearing will
27 be documented by ICE and communicated to the detainees and/or his or her counsel.
28

- 1 3. Except for emergency situations (discussed below), detainees appearing for bond or
2 merits hearings will not be restrained.
- 3 4. Officers may apply restraints in bond and merits hearing only in emergency situations
4 to protect the safety of the detainee, other detainees, the public, ICE or EOIR
5 personnel, or to prevent escape. Emergency situations include those in which the
6 detainee becomes combative, disruptive, violent or threatening. If a detainee was
7 previously restrained due to his or her behavior, that detainee will be restrained for all
8 future hearings. However, if a detainee who was previously restrained due to his or her
9 behavior believes that he or she has a medical, physical, or psychological grounds that
10 would prevent the application of restraints in a safe and humane manner, he or she can
11 request to modify or eliminate the use of restraints in future bond or merits hearings.
12 The SDDO's decision regarding a detainee's request to remove or reduce the level of
13 restraints to be applied in a bond or merits hearing will be documented by ICE and
14 communicated to the detainees and/or his or her counsel.
- 15 5. Class members will receive a summary notice of the above-described change in
16 Defendants' policies, to be posted in the detention facilities where they are housed, and
17 in the holding areas of 630 Sansome Street. In addition, the immigration judge
18 presiding over each master calendar hearing will make the following statement: "ICE
19 has a policy regarding the use of restraints in Court, and under this policy, people are
20 generally restrained for this type of hearing. If you have not received a copy of the
21 notice reflecting this policy, or if you wish to request a change to the use of restraints
22 in your case at this hearing, please advise the court at this time."
- 23 6. To facilitate attorney-client consultations related to master calendar hearings, ICE will
24 maintain two visiting rooms, one of which will be made available for confidential
25 attorney consultations from 7:00 a.m. to 8:45 a.m. in advance of master calendar
26 hearings, and absent operational constraints arising from day-to-day management of
27 ICE operations, from 8:45 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., during master calendar hearings.
28 Defendants will also ensure that detainees have a copy of his or her notice to appear.

1 In addition, Defendants will make best efforts to keep one pew in the courtroom free
2 for brief attorney-client consultations during master calendar hearings, provided it does
3 not interfere with or disrupt Defendants' ability to conduct proceedings or the safety or
4 security of the courtroom.

5 7. For a period of at least two years, Class Counsel will receive documents and
6 information concerning detainees who were restrained at bond or merits hearings due
7 to an emergency situation, as well as requests by detainees to modify or reduce the
8 level of restraints.

9 8. Defendants will pay Class Counsel reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amount
10 of \$350,000.

11 9. Class members will release the Released Claims against Defendants.

12 **WHAT ARE YOUR RIGHTS AS A CLASS MEMBER?**

13 You do not need to do anything if you are satisfied with the settlement. If you are
14 dissatisfied with any terms of the proposed settlement, you may object to the settlement by
15 submitting your objection to Class Counsel in writing, via regular or electronic mail, or by leaving
16 a message with your objection via telephone. If you are calling from inside a detention facility,
17 you can use the free call system and dial 9160#. If you are calling from outside of detention,
18 please call (650) 849-3186. If you make your objection in writing, you must direct it to:

19 Julia Harumi Mass
20 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
21 OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.
22 39 Drumm Street
23 San Francisco, CA 94111
24 Jmass@aclunc.org

25 Your objection must be received no later than March 20, 2014. If you do not make your
26 objection by that date, you will lose the right to object. If you do object, you have the right to
27 appear personally or through an attorney at the settlement hearing to present your objection to the
28 Court. Except with special permission of the Court, you will not be permitted to object at the
hearing if your objection is not received as described above on or before March 20, 2014.

1 If, after the hearing, the Court rejects the settlement, it will be voided and litigation of this
2 case will continue. If that happens, however, there is no assurance: (a) that any decision at trial
3 would be in favor of the Class; (b) that a favorable trial decision, if any, would be as favorable to
4 the Class as this settlement; or (c) that any such favorable trial decision would be upheld if any
5 appeal were filed.

6 **HOW TO GET MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT**

7 If you have questions about the proposed settlement agreement, or would like to obtain a
8 copy of it, you may contact the lawyers who represent the class, at the address below:

9 JULIA HARUMI MASS
10 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
11 OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.
12 39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 621-2493
Facsimile: (415) 255-8437

13 From detention facilities you can use the free call system and dial 9160#. There will be
14 no charge.

15 **DO NOT CONTACT DEFENDANTS, THE DISTRICT COURT OR THE JUDGE.**

16 Dated: January 30, 2014

BY ORDER OF THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28